project #: 21-205



4 2 0 5 10 15
1:300 (m)



Trees #1 & 2

Trees #3-6

Trees #8-11

Trees #12 - 17

Trees #14 - 17

Trees #18 &19

Tree #20

Tree #27

Trees #44 - 56, #60

Trees #51-54

Tree #60

Trees #61 & 62

KEY MAP - N.T.S.

LEGEND

- property line
- 1 — existing tree number (refer to chart)
- existing vegetation to remain
- existing vegetation to be removed
- existing tree with >10cm DBH removed prior to inventory
- 101.28 — existing elevations
- tree protection fence (with silt fence) - see detail D1, L-3

NOTE

1. A 'Verification of Tree Protection Letter' by the tree management professional is to be provided to the Director of Planning at the City of Hamilton to confirm that all tree protection measures have been installed prior to the undertaking of any grading activities.

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
ISSUED FOR REVIEW & COMMENTS ONLY

NOTE:
Vegetation inventory undertaken by Carleigh Pope, ISA Certified Arborist (ON-2578A) on 2022-03-15.

REVISIONS/ SUBMISSIONS

#	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	2022-05-03	Issued for review

STAMP

CLIENT
Royal Living Development Group

MUNICIPALITY
City of Hamilton

PROJECT
251 Stone Church Road West
Hamilton, Ontario

MUNICIPAL FILE NUMBER

SHEET
Tree Protection Plan L-1

adesso design inc.
landscape architecture

218 Locke Street South, 2nd Floor
Hamilton, ON L8P 4B4
t. 905.526.8876
www.adessodesigninc.ca

FILE:G:\Shared Files\Projects\21-205 251 Stonechurch Hamilton\07-Current Drawings\01-Site Plan\21-205_SP-LAYOUT1.DWG LAST SAVED BY:RMacKay, Tuesday, May 3, 2022 2:17:54 PM, PLOTTED BY:RMacKay, Tuesday, May 3, 2022 2:31:13 PM

project #: 21-205

EXISTING VEGETATION IDENTIFICATION TABLE

Tree #	Species (Common Name)	Species (Botanical Name)	DBH (cm)	Crown Class*	Condition**	Crown Width (m)	Comments	Potential Impacts from Construction	Ownership	Recommendation
1	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	26	C	Fair-Poor	6	Broken/dead branches (moderate), conflict with existing overhead hydro, asymmetrical canopy, poor form		Public - ROW	SAVE
2	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	22	C	Fair-Poor	4	Slight lean north, poor form, asymmetrical canopy, conflict with existing overhead hydro		Public - ROW	SAVE
3	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	23	C	Poor	4	TREE NOT SURVEYED - conflict with Tree #4 and existing overhead hydro, poor form	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
4	Silver Maple	*Acer saccharinum*	150	C	Fair-Poor	8	3 co-dominant leaders at 1.3m; heavily pruned (severe), poor form, poor branch taper, broken/dead branches	direct conflict with proposed underground parking structure	Private - Subject Site	REMOVE
5	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	26	C	Fair	5	Asymmetrical canopy, heavily pruned, poor branch taper, epicormic branching	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
6	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	38	C	Fair-Good	5	Asymmetrical canopy, broken/dead branches (moderate), poor form	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
7	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	53	C	Fair-Good	6	Asymmetrical canopy, broken/dead branches (moderate), imminent scaffold branch failure	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
8	Autumn Blaze Maple	*Acer x freemanii 'Jeffersred'*	10	D	Good	4	Poor branch taper (minor), included bark (minor)	direct conflict with proposed driveway	Public - ROW	REMOVE*
9	Black Walnut	*Juglans nigra*	98.5	D	Fair-Good	15	Epicormic branching, broken/dead branches (moderate), trunk cavity at base	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure and proposed building footprint. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Public - ROW	SAVE
10	Red Maple	*Acer rubrum*	15	D	Fair	5	Poor form, poor branch taper, rubbing branches, included bark (moderate), healed branch wounds		Public - ROW	SAVE
11	Black Walnut	*Juglans nigra*	38	D	Fair	6	Asymmetrical canopy, dead/broken branches (moderate), co-dominant leaders at 5m	direct conflict with proposed building	Private - Subject Site	REMOVE
12	Black Walnut	*Juglans nigra*	48	C	Fair-Poor	9	Swelling throughout trunk, cankers on main stem, asymmetrical canopy, broken/dead branches (moderate), poor form, included bark	direct conflict with proposed building	Private - Subject Site	REMOVE
13	Black Walnut	*Juglans nigra*	60	C	Fair-Poor	20	Large healed stem wound, included bark (severe), broken/dead branches	direct conflict with proposed building	Private - Subject Site	REMOVE
14	Silver Maple	*Acer saccharinum*	75.5	D	Poor	8	Heavily pruned, poor form, asymmetrical canopy, co-dominant leaders at 2.5m, broken/dead branches (severe)	direct conflict with proposed building	Private - Subject Site	REMOVE
15	Black Walnut	*Juglans nigra*	49	D	Good	10	Included bark (minor), broken/dead branches (minor), poor branch taper (minor)	direct conflict with proposed building	Private - Subject Site	REMOVE
16	Weeping Willow	*Salix alba var. tristes*	137	D	Poor	9	Trunk cavities throughout main stem, multiple leaders cut, tree previously topped, asymmetrical canopy, epicormic branching (severe)	direct conflict with proposed building	Private - Subject Site	REMOVE
17	Sugar Maple	*Acer saccharum*	58	D	Fair-Poor	8	Multiple leaders dead, healed stem wound, asymmetrical canopy, poor branch taper, included bark, poor form	direct conflict with proposed building	Private - Subject Site	REMOVE
18	Black Locust	*Robinia pseudoacacia*	18	C	Poor	5	TREE NOT SURVEYED - Poor form, leaning south, asymmetrical canopy	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
19	Black Locust	*Robinia pseudoacacia*	26	C	Poor	6	TREE NOT SURVEYED - conflict with existing chain link fence, poor form	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
20	Black Walnut	*Juglans nigra*	18	C	Fair-Poor	7	TREE NOT SURVEYED - 50% canopy dieback, healed stem wound, epicormic branching, poor branch taper, grapevine competition in canopy (severe)	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
21	Black Locust	*Robinia pseudoacacia*	11	C	Fair	1	TREE NOT SURVEYED - Asymmetrical canopy, poor form (severe)	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
22	Black Locust	*Robinia pseudoacacia*	19	C	Fair-Poor	5	TREE NOT SURVEYED - Poor branch taper, poor form	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
23	Black Locust	*Robinia pseudoacacia*	19	C	Fair-Poor	5	TREE NOT SURVEYED - Asymmetrical canopy, poor branch taper, poor form	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
24	Black Locust	*Robinia pseudoacacia*	21	C	Fair-Poor	4	TREE NOT SURVEYED - Included bark at union, co-dominant leaders at 3m	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
25	Black Locust	*Robinia pseudoacacia*	14,14	C	Fair-Poor	4	TREE NOT SURVEYED - conflict with existing chain link fence (wound on main stem), included bark, dead/broken branches (moderate)	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
26	Black Locust	*Robinia pseudoacacia*	17	C	Fair-Poor	5	TREE NOT SURVEYED - Asymmetrical canopy, poor form, broken/dead branches	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
27	Manitoba Maple	*Acer negundo*	13	C	Poor	5	TREE NOT SURVEYED - conflict with existing chain link fence, poor form, one stem cut down	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
28	Black Locust	*Robinia pseudoacacia*	18	C	Poor	4	TREE NOT SURVEYED - poor form	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
29	Black Locust	*Robinia pseudoacacia*	15	C	Poor	4	TREE NOT SURVEYED - epicormic branching, poor branch taper, asymmetrical canopy, grapevine competition in canopy	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
30	Black Locust	*Robinia pseudoacacia*	14	C	Fair-Good	3	TREE NOT SURVEYED - asymmetrical canopy, slight lean west	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
31	Black Locust	*Robinia pseudoacacia*	14	C	Fair-Good	3	TREE NOT SURVEYED - asymmetrical canopy	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
32	Black Locust	*Robinia pseudoacacia*	11	C	Fair	2	TREE NOT SURVEYED - co-dominant leaders 2m, poor form	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
33	Black Locust	*Robinia pseudoacacia*	10	C	Fair-Good	3	TREE NOT SURVEYED - co-dominant leaders 3m, poor form	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
34	Black Locust	*Robinia pseudoacacia*	8	C	Fair-Good	2	TREE NOT SURVEYED - asymmetrical canopy	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
35	Black Locust	*Robinia pseudoacacia*	9	C	Fair-Good	3	TREE NOT SURVEYED - asymmetrical canopy	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
36	Black Locust	*Robinia pseudoacacia*	10	C	Fair-Good	3	TREE NOT SURVEYED - asymmetrical canopy	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
37	Hackberry	*Celtis occidentalis*	5,7	C	Fair	5	TREE NOT SURVEYED - co-dominant leaders at 0.25m	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
38	Hackberry	*Celtis occidentalis*	15	C	Fair	5	TREE NOT SURVEYED - corrected sweep, epicormic branching, poor form	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
39	Silver Maple	*Acer saccharinum*	10,12,7, 10	C	Fair-Poor	5	TREE NOT SURVEYED - poor form, poor branch taper, dead/broken branches	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
40	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	18	C	Poor-Very Poor	2	TREE NOT SURVEYED - poor form, grapevine competition in canopy, broken/dead branches (severe)	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
41	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	15	C	Poor-Very Poor	2	TREE NOT SURVEYED - poor form, slight lean north, asymmetrical canopy	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
42	Silver Maple	*Acer saccharinum*	18,8	C	Fair-Poor	4	TREE NOT SURVEYED - one leader cut, poor form, poor branch taper	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
43	Silver Maple	*Acer saccharinum*	11	C	Fair-Poor	4	TREE NOT SURVEYED - corrected sweep, included bark (minor), grapevine competition in canopy	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
44	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	12	C	Fair	3	TREE NOT SURVEYED- sparse canopy (moderate), dead/broken branches (minor)	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
45	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	Dead	C	Dead	-	TREE NOT SURVEYED - Leader broken at 5m	direct conflict with proposed building	Private - Neighbouring	REMOVE*
46	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	18	C	Poor	2	TREE NOT SURVEYED - asymmetrical canopy, sparse crown, broken/dead branches (severe)	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
47	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	12	C	Dying	2	TREE NOT SURVEYED - poor form, asymmetrical canopy, >60% canopy dieback, broken/dead branches (severe)	direct conflict with proposed building	Private - Neighbouring	REMOVE*
48	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	25	C	Fair	4	TREE NOT SURVEYED - sparse crown, asymmetrical canopy	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
49	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	25	C	Fair	4	TREE NOT SURVEYED - sparse crown, asymmetrical canopy	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
50	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	24	C	Fair-Poor	3	TREE NOT SURVEYED - sparse crown, asymmetrical canopy	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
51	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	24	C	Fair-Poor	3	TREE NOT SURVEYED - sparse crown, asymmetrical canopy	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
52	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	11	C	Dead	-	TREE NOT SURVEYED - dead standing snag	direct conflict with proposed building	Private - Neighbouring	REMOVE*
53	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	13	C	Dying	-	TREE NOT SURVEYED - dead standing snag	direct conflict with proposed building	Private - Neighbouring	REMOVE*
54	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	31	C	Fair	5	TREE NOT SURVEYED - sparse crown (minor); asymmetrical canopy	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
55	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	30	C	Fair-Good	5	TREE NOT SURVEYED - sparse crown (minor); asymmetrical canopy	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
56	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	23	C	Fair-Good	5	TREE NOT SURVEYED - sparse crown (minor); asymmetrical canopy	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
57	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	22	C	Poor	5	TREE NOT SURVEYED - asymmetrical canopy, dead/broken branches (moderate)	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
58	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	26	C	Poor	5	TREE NOT SURVEYED - asymmetrical canopy, dead/broken branches (moderate), slight lean eastward	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
59	White Spruce	*Picea glauca*	56	C	Fair	10	TREE NOT SURVEYED - Asymmetrical canopy, dead/broken branches	mTPZ encroachment due to proposed undeground parking structure. Refer to CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION Notes	Private - Neighbouring	SAVE
60	Silver Maple	*Acer saccharinum*	73	C	Poor	8	Poor form, epicormic branching (moderate), Broken/dead branches (severe), asymmetrical canopy	direct conflict with proposed parking lot	Private - Subject Site	REMOVE
61	Dead Deciduous species	*Deciduous spp.*	Dead	D	Dead	-	TREE NOT SURVEYED - dead standing snag	direct conflict with proposed building	Private - Neighbouring	REMOVE*
62	Dead Deciduous species	*Deciduous spp.*	Dead	D	Dead	-	TREE NOT SURVEYED - dead standing snag	direct conflict with proposed building	Private - Neighbouring	REMOVE*
63	Dead Deciduous species	*Deciduous spp.*	Dead	D	Dead	-	TREE NOT SURVEYED - dead standing snag	direct conflict with proposed building	Private - Neighbouring	REMOVE*

NOTE:
(*) Written permission from the neighbouring property owner is required prior to removal or injury of any boundary tree(shared ownership) or any tree that is not fully on the subject property.

MIGRATORY BIRDS AND NESTS:

1. *The Owner and Contractor must be aware of the Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994 - specifically;*
   - *No tree removal or construction activity shall contravene the Act.*
   - *Construction activities with the potential to harm migratory birds or their nest should be restricted from March 15 to August 31.*
   - *If work must occur during the migratory bird breeding season, a nest survey should be taken by a qualified avian biologist.*
   - *A mitigation plan (showing active nests and appropriate buffers) may be required for review and approval by the Canadian Wildlife Services.*

TREE REMOVAL:

1. *No trees shall be removed prior to municipal approvals of the Tree Management/Tree Preservation Plan.*

BOUNDARY TREES:

2. *Note that boundary trees are protected under the Ontario Forestry Act. Boundary trees are defined in the Forestry Act (Section 10.2) and are considered shared or co-owned property. The Forestry Act indicates that it is a prosecutable offense for one co-owner to injure or cut down a boundary tree without the other co-owner's permission.*
3. *Written permission from the neighbouring property owner is required prior to removal or injury of any boundary tree(shared ownership) or any tree that is not fully on the subject property.*
4. *An ISA certified Arborist should be on site prior to any work being performed within the rootzone of a boundary or neighbouring tree to perform root pruning as required.*

CONSTRUCTION IMPACT MITIGATION:

1. *Any work within the mTPZ of trees to be preserved shall be performed by hand.*
2. *All tree roots that require removal are to be cleanly pruned by a tree care professional and according to best arboricultural practice.*
3. *All exposed tree roots to remain are to be covered immediately with native soil or imported good-quality planting soil using 150mm lifts and hand-tamped to prevent air pockets.*
4. *All areas of disturbed tree roots to remain are to be thoroughly watered immediately upon completion of excavation and backfilling of soil.*
5. *Damaged branches and limbs to be cleanly pruned by a tree care professional and according to best arboricultural practices.*
6. *Damage to tree trunks is to be reported immediately to a tree care professional for consultation and possible remedial action.*

** CROWN CLASS*
Dominant- (D) Emergent canopy (receives full sunlight)
Co-dominant - (C) Not fully emergent (top of canopy receives sunlight)
Intermediate - (I) Sub-canopy tree (receives partial sunlight)

*** CONDITION - consideration of trunk integrity, crown structure and crown vigor*
Good - few or no issues related to trunk integrity, crown structure or crown vigor
Fair - minor issues related to trunk integrity, crown structure (form, some dead or damged branches) or crown vigor (20-80% healthy foliage)
Poor - issues with trunk integrity such as cavities or exposed dead wood, poor crown structure (poor form, no clear leader, significant dead or damaged branches) or poor crown vigor (<20% healthy foliage)

CONDITION OF TREES
The decision to remove or retain a tree is subject to the forecasted development impacts, the structural condition of the tree (e.g. cracks, cavities, decay, weak branching, leaning, hazard potential), the biological condition of the tree (e.g. pest or disease concerns, overall health) and the suitability of the tree in its location (e.g. hardiness, soil conditions, salt tolerance, visual obstruction, available soil volume)





NOTES:

1. *The area within the dripline of all existing trees shall be properly protected with temporary fencing.*
2. *The area within the protective fencing shall remain undisturbed with no construction activity, grade changes, surface treatment, compaction, or excavation. Area shall not be used for the storage of building materials or equipment access/storage or project related garbage.*
3. *Tree protection measures shall be installed prior to any demolition, tree removal or construction and shall remain until the completion of fine grading and sodding or seeding.*
4. *Prune all trees for dead, diseased, weak or hazardous branches only. Also trim back branches which will interfere with construction, prune for structural restoration where necessary.*
5. *No stockpiles and/or excavated material shall be placed within the tree preservation area.*
6. *No rigging cable shall be wrapped around or installed to trees.*
7. *Where root systems of protected trees are exposed directly adjacent to or damaged by construction work they are to be root pruned and the area back filled with topsoil to prevent root desiccation.*
8. *Any fine grading within the preservation area is to be done by hand. no heavy equipment is permitted within the preservation zone.*
9. *Sediment accumulations to be removed by subdivider/builder when sediment deposits reach within 150mm of top of filter fabric barrier.*
10. *City of Hamilton Urban Forester to be contacted and a site visit to be coordinated between the City of Hamilton, the Developer and the qualified arborist performing tree service prior to any alteration of municipal trees.*
11. *A copy of the approved and signed Vegetation Management Plan will be on site for the duration of construction and available upon request from the City of Hamilton Forestry & Horticulture representative.*
12. *This detail does not represent any particular tree species.*

Tree Protection Fencing (with silt fence)
SCALE: NTS

1
L-2

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
ISSUED FOR REVIEW & COMMENTS ONLY

NOTE:
Vegetation inventory undertaken by Carleigh Pope, ISA Certified Arborist (ON-2578A) on 2022-03-15.

REVISIONS/ SUBMISSIONS

#	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	2022-05-03	Issued for review

STAMP

CLIENT
Royal Living Development Group

MUNICIPALITY
City of Hamilton

PROJECT
251 Stone Church Road West
Hamilton, Ontario

MUNICIPAL FILE NUMBER

SHEET
Tree Protection Plan

L-2

adesso design inc.
landscape architecture

218 Locke Street South, 2nd Floor
Hamilton, ON L8P 4B4
t. 905.526.8876
www.adessodesigninc.ca

FILE:Z:\Project_files\Projects_2021\21-205_251 Stonechurch_Hamilton\01-Current_Drawings\21-205L.dwg LAYOUT:2_Chart
LAST SAVED BY:Station04, Tuesday, May 3, 2022 2:17:54 PM PLOTTED BY:Station04 Tuesday, May 3, 2022 2:31:44 PM

project #: 21-205





LANDSCAPE ENLARGEMENT

SCALE: 1:150

METAL PRIVACY SCREEN

CONCRETE PLANTER WALL

PAVING PATTERN WITH BANDING

BIKE RACKS

PRECEDENT IMAGES

KEY MAP - N.T.S.

LEGEND

- property line
- existing tree to remain
- proposed deciduous tree
- proposed shrub
- proposed perennial
- 223.92 existing elevation
- min. 150mm topsoil, fine grade & sod
- C.I.P. concrete
- river rock
- precast concrete unit pavers
- proposed transformer

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
ISSUED FOR REVIEW & COMMENTS ONLY

REVISIONS/ SUBMISSIONS

#	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1	2022-05-03	Issued for review

STAMP

CLIENT
Royal Living Development Group

MUNICIPALITY
City of Hamilton

PROJECT
251 Stone Church Road West
Hamilton, Ontario

MUNICIPAL FILE NUMBER

SHEET
Landscape Plan L-3

adesso design inc.
landscape architecture

218 Locke Street South, 2nd Floor
Hamilton, ON L8P 4B4
t. 905.526.8876
www.adessodesigninc.ca

P:\ECO\Project Files\Active\21-205 Stonechurch Intensification\C Current Drawings\21-205 dwg - LANDSCAPE\Landscape
LAST SAVED BY:Rosalind4, Tuesday, May 3, 2022 2:17:54 PM PLOTTED BY:Rosalind4, Tuesday, May 3, 2022 2:34:33 PM